

**GEOG 3100: US & Canada
Proposal Evaluation Rubric**

Author Names: _____

Part A: Proposal Components	Excellent	Satisfactory	Weak	Poor
1. Topic Definition	Defines the proposed topic clearly, and interests the reader in seeing the completed project	Defines the proposal topic	Provides some definition of the proposal topic	Provides little or no proposal topic definition
2. Interest	Holds the reader's interest extremely well.	Does not completely hold the reader's interest	Provides little to hold the reader's interest	Does not entice the reader to continue reading
3. Central Research Question	Central research question is clear and well-written	Central research question is clear	Central research question is somewhat clear	Central research question is not clear
4. Feasibility	Excellent resource evidence provided to clearly document that the project can be completed within the semester	Some resources cited to indicate the topic can be completed within the semester	Minimal evidence provided to indicate the project can be completed	No evidence presented to indicate the project can be completed
Part B: Overall Proposal Quality	Excellent	Satisfactory	Weak	Poor
1. Writing	Proposal organization is excellent, and there are no grammatical or spelling errors	Writing is generally well-organized, and there are few spelling or grammatical errors	Some issues with logical organization of thoughts to enable clear reader comprehension. Many grammatical or spelling errors.	Extensive issues with flow and organization of key ideas. Far too many grammatical or spelling errors.

Comments for the authors (e.g., what worked well, what could be improved):

Overall Score:

Number of "Excellent" Ratings = _____ x 20 = _____

Number of "Satisfactory" Ratings = _____ x 17 = _____

Number of "Weak" Ratings = _____ x 14 = _____

Number of "Poor" Ratings = _____ x 8 = _____

Grand Total = _____ /100