

**GEOG 5190: Advanced Quantitative Techniques
Proposal Evaluation Rubric**

Author Name: _____

<i>Part A: Proposal Components</i>	Excellent	Satisfactory	Weak	Poor
1. Topic Definition	Defines the proposed topic extremely clearly	Acceptable definition of the proposal topic	Provides partial definition of the proposal topic	Provides no clear proposal topic definition
2. Interest	Interests the reader in seeing the completed project	Makes a case, but does not completely hold the reader's interest	Provides little to hold the reader's interest	Does not entice the reader to continue reading
3. Database	Discussion of the database to be used is extremely clear and well-written	Database discussion is acceptably clear	Database discussion is only partially clear	Database discussion is not clear
4. Technique	The analytical technique to be used is well defined and fully justified, given the topic and the database	The analytical technique is defined and mostly justified	Minimal definition and justification is provided for the analytical technique	No definition or justification provided for the analytical technique
<i>Part B: Overall Proposal Quality</i>	Excellent	Satisfactory	Weak	Poor
1. Writing	Proposal organization is excellent, and there are no grammatical or spelling errors	Writing is generally well-organized, and there are few spelling or grammatical errors	Some issues with logical organization of thoughts to enable clear reader comprehension. Many grammatical or spelling errors.	Extensive issues with flow and organization of key ideas. Far too many grammatical or spelling errors.

Comments for the author (e.g., what worked well, what could be improved):

Overall Score:

Number of "Excellent" Ratings = _____ x 20 = _____

Number of "Satisfactory" Ratings = _____ x 17 = _____

Number of "Weak" Ratings = _____ x 14 = _____

Number of "Poor" Ratings = _____ x 8 = _____

Grand Total = _____ /100