

**GEOG 5190: Advanced Quantitative Techniques
Report Evaluation Rubric**

Name: _____

<i>Part A: Report Components</i>	Excellent	Satisfactory	Weak	Poor
1. Introduction Purpose	The introduction defines the report purpose well	Defines the report purpose mostly clearly	Adequately written, sets up the report purpose partially	Poorly defines the report purpose
2. Introduction Interest	The introduction makes the reader eager to continue reading	The introduction does not completely hold the reader's interest	The introduction does little to hold the reader's interest	The introduction does not entice the reader to continue reading
3. Database	Database discussion is clear and well-written	Database discussion is clear	Database discussion is somewhat clear	Database discussion is not clear
4. Analytical Method Definition	The analytical method used is clearly defined and explained	The analytical method is mostly well explained	The analytical method is somewhat defined	The analytical method is poorly defined
5. Analytical Method Justification	The analytical method used is comprehensively justified with respect to the report purpose and database	The analytical method used is mostly well justified with respect to the report purpose and database	The analytical method is somewhat justified with respect to the report purpose and database	The analytical method is inadequately justified with respect to the report purpose and database
6. Results	Results are presented and explained clearly and completely, and are well-linked to the report purpose. Relevant limitations to analysis are fully discussed.	Results are adequately presented and explained, and are mostly linked to the report purpose. Analytical limitations covered.	Results are presented and explained minimally, and are partially linked to the report purpose. Analytical limitations are briefly mentioned.	Results are not presented nor explained, and are not linked to the report purpose. Analytical limitations are not mentioned.
7. Discussion and Conclusion	Evaluates and summarizes the research and its significance extremely well. Provides clear recommendations.	Evaluates and summarizes the research and its significance. Provides adequate recommendations.	Weak evaluation and summary of the research and its significance, with minimal recommendations	Does not evaluate or summarize the research and its significance, and provides no clear recommendations
<i>Part B: Overall Report Quality</i>	Excellent	Satisfactory	Weak	Poor
1. Writing	All paragraphs are well-constructed, and there are no grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors.	Most paragraphs are well constructed, and there are few grammatical errors.	Paragraphs are typically not constructed well. Many grammatical or spelling errors.	Sentences within paragraphs do not relate to each other. Far too many grammatical or spelling errors.
2. Graphics	Figures and tables are used and are well-designed and executed, making useful contributions to the paper	Figures and tables are used and most are well designed and executed. Mostly useful contributions	Figures and tables are partially used, and some figures and tables are not well designed or executed. These make minor contributions.	Lack of figures and tables. Many figures and tables are not well-designed or executed and do not contribute to the paper.
3. Organization	The report reads extremely well as a single, coherent document with good logical flow	The report reads mostly well as a single document, with a few inconsistencies	Some sections appear out of place or uncoordinated with each other	The report lacks a coherent theme and organization

Comments (e.g., what worked well, what could be improved):

Overall Score:

Number of "Excellent" Ratings = _____ x 10 = _____

Number of "Satisfactory" Ratings = _____ x 8.5 = _____

Number of "Weak" Ratings = _____ x 7 = _____

Number of "Poor" Ratings = _____ x 4 = _____

Grand Total = _____ /100